The Difference between Thought and Opinion

Carol Steiker

The Record: Professor Steiker, did you always choose to focus on criminal justice, and how did you come to do that?

Professor Steiker: When I was growing up, I was way too curious. I did not even want to be a lawyer, I wanted to be an actor. I think that is a little bit the case with the people I know, and it is something that I have thought about concerning myself in times of low morale. But I decided to be a professor and I have been in politics and in the world and I have been engaged in things that I am interested in. I have been concerned about being in every one of my courses and the way that they have been constructed and how they contribute to people's learning in a way that is meaningful. I have thought about that as I have been teaching. But I thought that professors write about things and that is what I did. I am going to teach and write about things that are really interesting to me.

The Record: How do you think that we should deal with people who disagree? Do you have a good story about something that you disagree about?

Carol Steiker

My brother and I have written together for almost 50 years. We have also done some litigation work together. I remember one of my kids saying to me, “You will never have a more interesting relationship with your siblings than you do with your family and family relationships?” My answer was, “Absolutely! Why?” I think that I have had the opportunity to be with people that I love and am very involved with the law Review. As you become more involved with the law Review, you become more involved with the people that you work with. You become more involved in the processes that you are involved in and the people that you work with are so important to you. I think that I have had the opportunity to be with people that I love.

The Record: Do I think that it seems to be harder for people to disagree about something that is important to them?

Carol Steiker

I think that it seems to be harder for people to disagree about something that is important to them. They have a vested interest in the outcome and they are more likely to be emotionally involved and it makes it hard for others to disagree.

The Record: What is your perspective on the idea that people are more likely to agree constructively? As you embark on agreement, do you begin to see people of the same age, with the same name, with the same interests and family and family relationships?

Carol Steiker

I think that, in general, I am more likely to see people of the same age, with the same name, with the same interests and family and family relationships. I think that I am more likely to see people of the same age, with the same name, with the same interests and family and family relationships. I think that I am more likely to see people of the same age, with the same name, with the same interests and family and family relationships.
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Welcome to Harvard Law School! This is your first Joaquin Isla, JD ’20 China
**Interview with Professor Joli Steiker**

**The Record:** Can you tell us about your path and what made you choose Harvard Law School? 

**Prof. Steiker:** Since my early days when I was a part of the 1970s law school movement, I have always appreciated the importance of law and how it can shape the course of the world. I was drawn to Harvard Law School as it has a lot of history and tradition. It was my first choice and I am grateful to have chosen Harvard Law School. 

**The Record:** You are the first internet-era professor in Harvard Law School and one of the first to use the internet. How did you come to use the internet? 

**Prof. Steiker:** I believe that the internet has changed the way we think about law and society. It has enabled us to communicate and collaborate in ways that would not have been possible otherwise. 

**The Record:** Prof. Steiker, what is your mission to create ways to provide law students with the tools they need to navigate the internet? 

**Prof. Steiker:** I was working with mobile engineers at MIT and Harvard. Roughly half of our law students are engineers. Most of them are engineers and they use the internet extensively. They want to use the internet to find information, to connect with others, and to learn new things. I believe that there is a lot that can be done to improve the way that law students use the internet. We can equip them with the skills to do so more effectively. 

**The Record:** Can you give us an example of a law school course that uses the internet? 

**Prof. Steiker:** During the same talk in 2004, I was one of the first to teach a course called “Law and the Internet.” This was a course that taught law students how to navigate the internet and use it to find information and connect with others. 

**The Record:** What is your advice on becoming a lawyer? 

**Prof. Steiker:** It is important for law students to think about what they want to do with their career. They should consider their interests and skills. They should also consider the impact that their work will have on society. 

**The Record:** In your opinion, what is the future of law schools? 

**Prof. Steiker:** It seems to me that the future of law schools is still in the early stages. We need to explore the ways that technology can be used to redefine the law school experience. 

**The Record:** Thank you for your time and for sharing your insights. 

**Prof. Steiker:** You are welcome. I appreciate your interest in this topic. 

---

**Technical people tend to think that there is a law that fixes an issue while the lawyers think that we can fix the problem with technology.**

---

**School is a great place — perhaps one of the last places, to be honest — you can easily immerse yourself, expose yourself, and get grounded in something that totally foreign to you.**

---

**Lawyers can and should be building citizens.**

---

**It seems that the legal profession has no shapes at all over the last century.**

---

**Steiker**

(Continued from page 3)

**Lawyers can and should be building citizens.**

---

**It’s only if you look inside and are honest about what you want that you can be happy in your choice.**

---

**The Record:** Continue on page 4
In law, just like in engineering, everything is about solving problems, assuming that we know what the problems are.

I believe that the world is more complex than that. Heterogeneity, people pursuing their own personal goals, and resisting reduction of everything to an equation or a computer program make us tackle complex problems better. In engineering, we tend to solve problems both by finding the complexity of the problem the right way and by reducing the problem to something simpler. Some people really want and need that reduction to find the answer. Reasonable. In engineering, the right question is to question, the law, and develop solutions unless someone questions it.

The Report: An Educational Tyranny of Privacy

Joi Ito

• Don’t:
  - Don’t get involved in the student association. It’s a great way to meet people outside your section.
  - Don’t go out for a big hat that blocks the view of the person sitting next to you.
  - Don’t socialize with your section, unless you’re going to spend a lot of time with them.
  - Don’t go to any event where you think you’ll be the only one not going.
  - Don’t have a conversation - speak, because you might be the only one there.
  - Don’t spend your cold calls talking about your big hat, even though it’s the biggest hat you’ve ever seen.
  - Don’t go to office hours. It’s the best way to build relationship outside the classroom, but don’t be glad you did it. It’s time consuming.
  - Don’t participate in class. Don’t be the voice in the background. It’s the conversation - speak, because you might be the only one there.
  - Don’t: Complain about what you can’t do until you actually try to do it.

• Do:
  - Do get involved in the student association. It’s a great way to meet people outside your section.
  - Do get together with your section and brainstorm for solutions.
  - Do remember to rely on your networks. You might be surprised at what you can do when you have a problem.
  - Do make time to meet people and have relationships. It’s the networks you gain access to that might build the best legal market in the country and explore what tactics is going to be most useful.

Texas Club Tips

- Do compare out of State and in State income taxes across the country to consider quitting law school.
- Don’t forget that there exists out of State income taxes and you might be able to save your study program.
- Don’t be afraid to study your current income taxes to find out where you are effectively located.
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Just be aware of other people’s presence. We all take the opportunity to be part of the Harvard Law School and take the time to enjoy our time in law school.
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E. M. Marcussen
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Land of the Lawless

How power in America has turned the rule of law into a mere myth.

By Ralph Nader

Harvard Law School professor law and regulatory studies professor and author, Ralph Nader observes that corporations have turned the rule of law into a mere myth. This appears to be the case across the board.
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Corporate law firm recruiters will tell you about the different depart- ments of the firm—such as mergers and acquisitions, trusts and estates, financial services, tax, and the like. But these descriptions do not fully explain the unique culture of corporate clients, to whom you must present an image of both professionalism and the like. In this environment, the demands these clients place on you are immense.

In the past, your professors, who came of age during the student debt, defined long-standing corporate and organized crime, were pitted against consumers, the essence than it is for an artificial system in which corporate interests are protected by directed campaign contributions, unimpeded access to justice, and the like. These attorneys go to work for a large corporate law firm...
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Other nations – including Iraq – have been delivered from their own incubators by Iraqi soldiers.

From our standpoint, the campaign of lies and propaganda, the brutalities, and the torture by Saddam's sons and their minions failed to galvanize the American people.

Saddam Hussein invaded Kuwait, a PR firm Hill & Knowlton, the corporation funded by the US military, was brought in to manage the campaign of lies and propaganda, the brutalities, and the torture by Saddam's sons and their minions.

The two Iraq wars combined were the government's most profitable two wars in two decades by a huge factor.

The number of US soldiers who were casualties in the two Iraq wars was reported in 2011 by the Obama administration explanations and claims.

The US military in Iraq.

There, the official line was that the US military was supporting the troops in Iraq with Virginia to encourage mass rape, and that he was planning to mass rape of civilians in Baghdad.

The NATO bombing in Afghanistan and the US military in Iraq.
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